1 2 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

* * *

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASPEN SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No. 2:20-cv-01374-APG-DJA

ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' stipulation and order to extend discovery and for the defendant to serve supplemental responses to plaintiff's first set of requests for production. (ECF No. 23). The parties apparently request a stay of discovery—indicated by both the language of the motion and the mismatched document titles, one of which reads "Stipulation to Stay Discovery" but have instead filed a stipulation to extend discovery. The parties, however, have failed to comply with the Local Rules for filing a motion to extend discovery deadlines. They provide no statement specifying the discovery completed, no specific description of remaining discovery, and no reasons why the deadlines cannot be met as required under Local Rule 26-3. Rather, the parties assert only that "they were considering staying discovery until after the Motion [to Dismiss] was decided."

As the parties cited in their motion, the Court has previously reminded the parties that they "are not permitted to informally stay discovery [and must] seek Court approval by filing a motion with appropriate points and authorities to the extent a stay of discovery is requested." (ECF No. 22). Regardless of how they title it or whether they agree, the parties are disregarding this Court's prior order through the instant motion. And they have done so poorly by failing to style the motion as one for an extension under Local Rule 26-3. Because the parties are attempting to informally stay discovery against the Court's prior order (ECF No. 22) and because they have failed to comply with Local Rule 26-3, the Court denies their stipulation to extend discovery deadlines.

Case 2:20-cv-01374-APG-DJA Document 24 Filed 06/15/21 Page 2 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' stipulation and order to extend discovery is **denied without prejudice**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' stipulation and order for defendant to serve supplemental responses to plaintiff's first set of requests for production of documents is denied as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any subsequent motion or stipulation to extend discovery deadlines must comply with Local Rule 26-3 and provide a statement of the discovery completed, a specific description of the remaining discovery, the reasons why discovery cannot be completed by the deadlines, and a proposed schedule for completing all remaining discovery.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties must seek Court approval by filing a motion with appropriate points and authorities should they seek a stay of discovery. The parties are again reminded that they are not permitted to informally stay discovery.

DATED: June 15, 2021

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE